

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,280	02/20/2001	Martin Kreyenschmidt	IN-12071	5372
75	90 07/16/2002			
BASF CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
609 BIDDLE AVENUE WYANDOTTE, MI 48192			GORR, RACHEL F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	7/
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2002	:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/763,280	KREYENSCHMIDT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Rachel Gorr	1711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replant of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tily within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI g date of this communication, even if timely file	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. FD (35 U.S.C. & 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18</u>					
	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 11-16</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 11-16</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapp	noved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)		·			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1711

1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claim7-12 been renumbered 11-16.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

3. Claims 13, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yukuta (755).

Yukuta discloses flexible foams comprising an isocyanate component, an isocyanate reactive component, an anhydride and a catalyst in examples 2 and 3 of Table1. The density falls within the specified range of the claims.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1711

cf

- 5. Claims 1-4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yukuta in view of Hicks (GB2196974).
- 6. Yukuta discloses the process for making foams comprising polyisocyanates, polyisocyanate reactive components, catalysts, and anhydrides (see the above rejection). Yukuta differs from the claims by showing that either an organic metal or an amine can be used as the catalyst (see claim 8). He differs from dependent claim 3 by not showing premixing the anhydride with the polyisocyanate.
- 7. Hicks teaches that an anhydride mixed with a polyisocyanate can help stabilize the polyisocyanate.
- 8. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to choose an amine catalyst in the process and product of Yukuta because it's been held obvious to choose one from a limited number of choices. It would have been obvious to mix the anhydride with the polyisocyanate in order to help maintain the stability of the polyisocyanate per the teachings of Hicks.
- 9. Claims 1, 11, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber in view of of Yukuta.
- 10. Weber discloses foams comprising polyisocyanates, polyisocyanate reactive components, and amine catalysts (see example 3). In the abstract, he discloses using these foams for shoe soles and for upholstery. He discloses densities ranging from 200-700 kg/m³. He differs from the claims by not disclosing an anhydride component.
- 11. Yukuta teaches that the addition of an anhydride to a flexible foam improves the foaming stability (col. 3, line 46).

Art Unit: 1711

12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add an anhydride to the process of Weber in order to enhance the foaming stability.

- 13. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO-MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel Gorr whose telephone number is (703) 308-3608. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs.,Fri., from 7:00-5:30.

Art Unit: 1711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

R.G. July 9, 2002

RACHEL GORR
PRIMARY EXAMINER